arXiv:1406.0961v3 [math.CT] 13 Jun 2014

CARTESIAN CLOSED CATEGORIES ARE DISTRIBUTIVE

MARCO BENINI

ABSTRACT. A folklore result in category theory is that a (weakly) Cartesian closed category with finite co-products is distributive. Usually, the proof of this small result is carried on using the fact that the exponential functor is right adjoint to the product functor. And, since functors having a right adjoint preserve co-limits, the result follows immediately. But, when we try to explicitly construct the arrows, things become a bit more involved. In rare cases, it is pretty useful to have the exact arrows that make this isomorphism to hold. The purpose of this note is to develop the explicit proof with all the involved arrows constructed in an explicit way.

A (weakly) Cartesian closed category is a category having finite products and exponentiation[1]. The statement says that any Cartesian closed category $\mathbb{C}$ with finite co-products is *distributive*, that is, for any objects A, B, C, $A \times (B + C) \cong (A \times B) + (A \times C)$.

One arrow is easy to construct: consider the following commutative diagram



It immediately follows that there are two universal arrows to the product $A \times (B + C)$:



Date: June 16, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 18A30; Secondary: 18A40.

Key words and phrases. Category theory, distributive categories, Cartesian closed categories.

The author has been supported by the project *Correctness by Construction* (CORCON), EU 7th framework programme, grant n. PIRSES-GA-2013-612638, and by the project *Abstract Mathematics for Actual Computation: Hilbert's Program in the 21st Century*, funded by the John Templeton's Foundation. Opinions expressed here and the responsibility for them are the author's only, not necessarily shared by the funding institutions. The author has also to thank the kind hospitality of prof. H. Ishihara and Dr. T. Nemoto when he was a visiting researcher at JAIST, where the results have been developed.

[1]Some authors define Cartesian closed categories as those having finite limits and exponentiation. In fact, the result here applies to both the weak and the strong version of the term, so we will freely use the term 'Cartesian' with no further adjectives.

So, the newly constructed arrows form a co-product diagram, which enables to construct a co-universal arrow as below

(1)



Hence, the arrow $[1_a\times\iota_1, 1_a\times\iota_2]\colon (A\times B)+(A\times C)\to A\times(B+C)$ is the first half of the isomorphism we are seeking. It should be noted that the construction of such an arrow does not require exponentiation.

The other side require exponentiation. In the first place, we have to prove that the product functor $(A\times -)$ is left adjoint to the exponentiation functor $(-)^A$.

To do this, we prove that $A^{(B\times C)}\cong \left(A^B\right)^C$ by explicitly constructing the isomorphism. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{(B\times C)}\times B\times C & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & A \\ & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \text{ev}} \\ \left(A^B\right)^C\times B\times C & \xrightarrow[\text{ev}\times 1_B]{} & A^B\times B \end{array}$$

Focusing on the top and the right arrows in the diagram, we notice an evident exponential object: we call α the obvious exponential transpose

(2)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{(B\times C)}\times B\times C & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & A \\ & \overset{\alpha\times 1_B}{\searrow} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \text{ev}} \\ \left(A^B\right)^C\times B\times C & \xrightarrow[\text{ev}\times 1_B]{} & A^B\times B \end{array}$$

Thus, the following diagram constructs another exponential transpose γ

(3)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{(B\times C)}\times B\times C & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & A \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \gamma\times 1_{B\times C}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \text{ev}} \\ \left(A^B\right)^C\times B\times C & \xrightarrow[\text{ev}\times 1_B]{} & A^B\times B \end{array}$$

Finally, we can construct the last exponential transpose δ as follows

(4)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{(B\times C)}\times C & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta\times 1_C} & \searrow^{\alpha} & \\ \left(A^B\right)^C\times C & \xrightarrow[\text{ev}]{} & A^B \end{array}$$

It remains to prove that γ and δ are inverses to each other and thus isomorphisms, so $A^{(B\times C)} \cong \left(A^B\right)^C$. To this aim, let us consider the diagram:



where the arrows are named as before. Consider the arrow θ:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &\equiv \mathrm{ev}_1\circ(\mathrm{ev}_3\times 1_B)\circ(\delta\times 1_B\times 1_C)\circ(\gamma\times 1_{B\times C})\\ &= \mathrm{ev}_1\circ((\mathrm{ev}_3\circ(\delta\times 1_C))\times 1_B)\circ(\gamma\times 1_{B\times C})\\ &= \mathrm{ev}_1\circ(\alpha\times 1_B)\circ(\gamma\times 1_{B\times C})\\ &= \mathrm{ev}_2\circ(\gamma\times 1_{B\times C})\\ &= \mathrm{ev}_1\circ(\mathrm{ev}_3\circ 1_B)\end{aligned}$$

thus, by uniqueness of the exponential transpose, $\mathrm{tr}\theta = \gamma$, that is,

$$1_{\left(A^B\right)^C\times B\times C} = (\delta\times 1_B\times 1_C)\circ(\gamma\times 1_{B\times C}) = (\delta\circ\gamma)\times 1_{B\times C}\ ,$$

so $\delta\circ\gamma = 1_{\left(A^B\right)^C}$.

In the opposite direction,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &\equiv \mathrm{ev}_2\circ(\gamma\times 1_{B\times C})\circ(\delta\times 1_B\times 1_C)\\ &= \mathrm{ev}_1\circ(\mathrm{ev}_3\times 1_B)\circ(\delta\times 1_B\times 1_C)\\ &= \mathrm{ev}_1\circ((\mathrm{ev}_3\circ(\delta\times 1_C))\times 1_B)\\ &= \mathrm{ev}_1\circ(\alpha\times 1_B)\\ &= \mathrm{ev}_2\end{aligned}$$

thus, by uniqueness of the exponential transpose, as before,

$$1_{A^{B\times C}\times B\times C} = (\gamma\times 1_{B\times C})\circ(\delta\times 1_B\times 1_C) = (\gamma\circ\delta)\times 1_{B\times C}\ ,$$

so, $\gamma\circ\delta = 1_{A^{B\times C}}$.

The functor

$$\begin{array}{rccl}(A\times -): & \mathbb{C} & \to & \mathbb{C}\\ & B & \mapsto & A\times B\\ & f\colon B\to C & \mapsto & 1_A\times f\colon A\times B\to A\times C\end{array}$$

is left adjoint to the functor

$$\begin{array}{rccl}(-)^A: & \mathbb{C} & \to & \mathbb{C}\\ & B & \mapsto & B^A\\ & f\colon B\to C & \mapsto & \mathrm{tr}(f\circ\mathrm{ev})\colon B^A\to C^A\end{array}$$

where $\mathrm{tr}(f\circ\mathrm{ev})$ is the exponential transpose of $f\circ\mathrm{ev}$:



as it is immediate to see from the usual exponentiation diagram:



In the Hom-sets notation, $\mathrm{Hom}(A \times B, C) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(A, C^B)$. But, in this respect, it is useful to explicitly construct the isomorphisms between the Hom-sets. Evidently, given an arrow $g\colon A \times B \to C$, the associated arrow becomes $\operatorname{tr} g$.

In the other direction, given an arrow $f\colon A \to C^B$, we can construct the diagram:



Thus, the arrow θ associated to f is obtained by composition as in the diagram:

(5)



Of course, $\cong_1$ and $\cong_3$ come from the following diagram



and the dotted arrow has π_2 as an inverse, as it is immediate to show.

So, we can finally construct the arrow $A \times (B + C) \to (A \times B) + (A \times C)$. This is done by explicitly redoing the proof of the dual of Proposition 3.2.2 in [Bor94] in the case of interest. Precisely, we prove that the functor $(A \times -)$ preserves the $B + C$ co-product.

The $B + C$ co-product corresponds to the diagram



So, the same diagram transformed via the $(A \times -)$ functor yields the co-cone



Consider any co-cone



Thus, by exponentiation, i.e., the right adjoint of $(A \times -)$,



So, the following is a co-cone



and, being $B+C$ the co-product of B and C, we get the co-universal arrow $r\colon B+C \to D^A$



Applying the $(A \times -)$ functor to this diagram, and remembering that θ is the inverse of transpose, see diagram (5), we get



which shows that $A \times (B + C)$ is the co-product of $A \times B$ and $A \times C$. Thus the arrow $A\times(B+C) \to (A\times B)+(A\times C)$ is just the co-universal arrow of the $A\times(B+C)$ co-product, and it is evidently an inverse of the one synthesised in diagram (1), because co-products are unique up to isomorphisms.

REFERENCES

[]Bor94 Francis Borceaux, *Handbook of categorical algebra 1: Basic category theory*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, no. 50, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1994, ISBN: 978-0-521-06119-3.

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE TEORICHE E APPLICATE, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DELL'INSUBRIA, VIA MAZZINI 5, I-21100 VARESE (VA), ITALY

E-mail address: marco.benini@uninsubria.it

URL: http://marcobenini.wordpress.com/